

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,725	11/22/2000	William J. Boyle	A-378CIP2C3	5057
759		1 1 1		
U S Patent Operations RBW MS 10 1 B Amgen Inc Amgen Center 1840 Dehavilland Drive Thousand Oaks, CA 91320-1789		 1	EXAMINER	
		; ;	DEBERRY, REGINA M	
		<u>.</u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		!	1647	7
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	. 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/718,725	BOYLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Regina M. DeBerry	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Caffer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 April 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-38 and 42-60</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-41</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					
5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)							

Application/Control Number: 09/718,725

Art Unit: 1647

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

The amendment filed 22 November 2000 (Paper No. 2) has been entered in full. The information disclosure statement filed 22 November 2000 (Paper No. 3) was received and complies with the provisions of 37 CFR §§1.97 and 1.98. It has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Applicant's election of Group IV (claims 39-41) in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-38 and 42-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Title

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Sequence Rules

The instant application fails to fully comply with the sequence rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 because each disclosure of a sequence embraced by the definitions set forth in the rules fails to refer to the required sequence identifier (SEQ ID NO:). This occurs in Figures 1A, 1B, 2B, 2C, 9A, 9B, 9C, 9D, 9E, 9F, 10, 12A, 12B. Sequences appearing in drawings may be referenced in the drawings themselves or in the corresponding Brief Description thereof. In addition, there is a discrepancy with the

Application/Control Number: 09/718,725

Art Unit: 1647

in Figure 2E, but in the sequence listing, the amino acid length of SEQ ID NO:135 is listed as 202 in Figure 2E, but in the sequence listing, the amino acid length of SEQ ID NO:135 is listed as 205. The specification and drawings should be checked to make sure the sequence identifiers are not missing and that the DNA and amino acid lengths in the figures and sequence listing are the same. Applicants is given the same response time regarding this failure to comply as that set forth to respond to this office action. A complete response to this office action includes compliance with this sequence rule compliance. Applicant must submit a response to this Office Action and compliance with sequence rules simultaneously.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the Description of the Figures, there is no reference to Fig 16A and Fig 16B (page 10). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an antibody or fragment thereof which specifically binds to OPG protein and a method for detecting the presence of OPG in a biological sample wherein OPG protein consists of SEQ ID NO:121, SEQ ID NO:123 or SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 09/718,725

Art Unit: 1647

NO:125, does not reasonably provide enablement for the claims as cited. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims are generally drawn to an antibody or fragment thereof which specifically binds to OPG and a method for detecting the presence of OPG in a biological sample. In order to generate antibodies which specifically bind to OPG, one would need the OPG protein to use as an antigen to inject animals. The specification only teaches the amino acid sequence of rat, mouse and human osteoprotegerin (OPG) protein as SEQ ID NO:121, SEQ ID NO:123 and SEQ ID NO:125 respectively (page 23, lines 5-10). Thus the specification fails to teach how to make all species of OPG protein in order to generate antibodies against these species. The specification also fails to teach how to make any variant of OPG in order to generate antibodies. The specification does not support claims to OPG polypeptides modified to an unlimited extent relative to those exemplified. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g. such as various sites or regions directly involved in binding, activity and in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and active sites. These or other regions may also be critical determinants of antigenicity. These regions can tolerate only relatively conservative substitutions or no substitutions (see Wells, 1990, Biochemistry 29:8509-8517 and Daniel et al. 1994, Virology 202:540-549). The claims as cited encompass all species

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/718,725

Art Unit: 1647

and variants of OPG protein. Reasonable correlation must exist between the scope of the claims and scope of enablement set forth.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to make all species and any variant of osteoprotegerin protein, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding same, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, the unpredictability of the effects of mutation on protein structure and function (see discussion above and recited references), and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite limitations regarding species and structural of osteoprotegerin, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The instant claims are indefinite in its recitation of OPG. The claims do not convey the minimal structural and functional requirements of the protein to satisfy the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina M. DeBerry whose telephone number is (703) 305-6915. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7939 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Clyabel C. Kemme

RMD

June 10, 2002

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER